

DOCKET No.

ABE1P002

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION

FOR

CONTINUOUS PRICE OPTIMIZATION SYSTEM,
METHOD AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT
FOR SATISFYING CERTAIN BUSINESS
OBJECTIVES

INVENTOR: John R. Abe

SILICON VALLEY IP GROUP, P.C.
P.O. Box 721120
SAN JOSE, CA 95172

CONTINUOUS PRICE OPTIMIZATION SYSTEM, METHOD AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR SATISFYING CERTAIN BUSINESS OBJECTIVES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to computer-implemented system that continuously
5 optimizes the price for a supplier so as to meet certain business objectives.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

A supplier who competes in a market with one or more competitors is faced with
10 the challenge of continuously pricing their goods and services. If a supplier understands
the market's responsiveness to price as well as the supplier's cost, a supplier can
determine the optimal price that ensures meeting one or more of the following business
objectives; a) Maximizing revenue, b) Maximizing Gross Profit, c) Maximizing
Earnings Before Income Tax, d) Market share, e) Factory utilization, and more. Ideally,
15 the supplier's understanding of the market will continuously update itself to reflect
competitor's changes in pricing as well as shifts in supply and demand.

Prior art has limitations that not only prevent a supplier from making an initial
useable estimate of the optimal price, but also from making an accurate update of the
20 optimal price. The limitations stem from inaccuracies and potentially incorrect
assumptions associated with the demand or yield curve, which depicts the relationship
between quantity and price. These inaccuracies are the result of one or more of the
following problems; a) Limited span in sales order data in which to build the demand

curve, b) Lack of statistically relevant sales order data, c) Lack of market relevant sales order data, d) Implicit assumption that the historical and future sales environments remain the same, e) Lack of a rapid method for assessing whether a new optimized price is required as a result of a shift in market demand or pricing, f) Lack of a method of 5 rapidly updating the optimized price calculation.

The demand curve is typically constructed using the supplier's historical sales order data, which limits the extent and completeness of the demand curve. For example, if the supplier behaves as the "low price leader", the sales order data can only 10 be used to create a demand curve reflecting how the market responds to low pricing.

The demand curve should depict the market's responsiveness to all pricing scenarios, not just those scenarios previously employed by the company. As a result of using a demand curve constructed using a limited span of sale order data, it is not likely 15 that the optimum price can be determined.

Another challenge in constructing the demand curve is the lack of statistically relevant data. Frequently, there are pieces of sales data which conflict. An example is that one customer was willing to pay \$2.23 each for 10,000 units. Another customer, in 20 the identical customer group may demand 11,500 units for \$2.23 each, a 15% difference in quantity. This situation is not unusual, especially for opaque markets where one buyer does not see what other buyers are paying and therefore facilitates a supplier charging different unit prices for the same goods or services. The prior art attempts to resolve this situation through averaging algorithms and requires sufficient sale order 25 data for statistical relevance. The challenge is that there is seldom-sufficient data to build a statistically relevant demand curve.

Yet another challenge with the prior art is that even if the demand curve is statistically relevant, it is not market relevant. Statistical relevance can be assured through a large enough set of sales orders. However, collecting a large set of sales orders may necessitate waiting long periods of time to allow a sufficient number of 5 orders to be accumulated for statistical relevance. During the long collection period, the market may have changed considerably in its responsiveness to pricing. So while the demand curve may have statistical relevance, it is meaningless because it is based on data too old for market relevance. As a consequence, determining an optimum price based on a dated demand curve is unlikely.

10

In the prior art, there is an implicit assumption that the historical sales and future sales environments are identical. For example, if the derived demand curve indicates that 10,000 units were sold when the price was \$3.25, the expectation going forward is that the supplier will again sell 10,000 units at \$3.25. The implicit assumption is that 15 the overall economic environment, the supplier's approach to marketing, and selling methodology has remained the same. Rarely do the economic environment, the supplier's marketing, and selling methodologies remain intact for any length of time. As a consequence, the validity of the demand curve is questionable and its usefulness in doubt.

20

Without a representative demand curve, it is impossible to determine an optimum price that ensure meeting one or more of the following business objectives; a) Maximizing revenue, b) Maximizing Gross Profit, c) Maximizing Earnings Before Income Tax, d) Market share, e) Factory utilization, etc.

25

Even if prior art could overcome the aforementioned issues associated with the span of sales order data, statistical relevance, market relevance, and the accommodate changes in selling methodologies, prior art still must overcome the final issue of rapidly

ABE1P002

determining when market shifts in pricing and demand necessitate updating the demand curve. Without a method for rapidly determining when the demand curve is no longer representative of the market's responsiveness to price, a supplier will continue under the presumption that the current price is optimal when the market shifts have necessitated

5 that a new optimal price is needed.

In accuracies and poor assumptions aside, once a demand curve is created, the supplier can make a determination of how to price their goods and services in order to satisfy certain business objectives. With an understanding of the relationship between

10 quantity and price, an income statement, as well as additional metrics, can be constructed for each price through the following steps; a) Calculation of revenue by multiplying the price and quantity, b) Determination of the cost-of-goods by multiply the quantity and unit cost at that quantity, c) Calculation of gross profit by subtracting the cost-of-goods from the revenue, d) Determining the sales and general administration

15 costs, e) Calculating the earnings before income tax by subtracting the sales and general administration costs from the gross profit, f) Calculation of market share by dividing the quantity by the total quantity sold by all suppliers, and e) Calculating factor utilization by dividing the units sold by the capacity of the factory for that product.

20 Once the income statement and additional metrics are calculated for each price, the optimum price can be selected to satisfy various business objects. For example, the supplier may wish to optimize pricing to maximize revenue. To identify the optimum price that maximizes revenue, the income statements are searched to identify where the revenue is maximized and the associated price extracted.

25

In addition to optimizations with one objective in mind, optimizations are possible that maximize the multiple business objectives. For example, the supplier may wish to optimize pricing to maximize revenue and gross profit. In this example, the

ABE1P002

income statements are searched for the price at which revenue is maximized and the price at which gross profit is maximized. The supplier then selects a price between the maximum gross profit and revenue price that represents the best tradeoff between these two business objectives.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

A computer-implemented method, system and computer program product are provided for utilizing feedback in generating an optimal price. In use, an optimal price is generated. Next, a result of utilizing the optimal price is identified. A reaction may then be carried out based on the result.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 **FIG. 1A** is a diagram of a general-purpose computer system with principal elements used in one embodiment.

FIG. 1B is a diagram of the processing flow between the major processing components.

10 **FIG. 2** is an input menu on the display device.

FIG. 3 is a second input menu on the display device.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart illustrating the input of data.

15 **FIG. 5** is the second flow chart illustrating the input of data.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating the calculation of standard deviation ratios and assignment of the Mean Price Estimate.

20 **FIG. 7** is a flow chart illustrating the beginning of the optimization loop.

FIG. 8 is a flow chart illustrating the assignment of optimization variables.

25 **FIG. 9** is a flow chart illustrating the assignment of optimization variable and initiation of the Mean Price Error Index Loop.

FIG. 10 is a flow chart illustrating the assignment of optimization variables and the calculation of the lower portion of the Frequency Distribution Array.

ABE1P002

FIG. 11 is a flow chart illustrating the continued creation of the upper portion of the Frequency Distribution Array.

5 **FIG. 12** is a flow chart illustrating the smoothing of the Frequency Distribution Array data.

FIG. 13 is a flow chart illustrating the continued smoothing of the Frequency Distribution Array data.

10 **FIG. 14** is a flow chart illustrating the integration of the Frequency Distribution Array and the determination of the Expected Results Array

15 **FIG. 15** is a flow chart illustrating the continued determination of the Expected Results Array.

FIG. 16 is a flow chart illustrating the continued determination of the Expected Results Array.

20 **FIG. 17** is a flow chart illustrating the search for Mean Price Estimate plus and minus an uncertainty in the Expected Results Array.

FIG. 18 is a flow chart illustrating the continued search for Mean Price Estimate plus and minus an uncertainty in the Expected Results Array.

25 **FIG. 19** is a flow chart illustrating the continued search for Mean Price Estimate plus and minus an uncertainty in the Expected Results Array.

ABE1P002

FIG. 20 is a flow chart illustrating the search in the Expected Results Array for the price that yields the maximum income.

5 **FIG. 21** is a flow chart illustrating the search in the Expected Results Array for the price and corresponding index that yields the maximum income and the search in the Expected Results Array for the price that yields the maximum profit.

FIG. 22 is a flow chart illustrating the continued search in the Expected Results Array for the price and index that yields the maximum profit.

10

FIG. 23 is a flow chart illustrating the determination of price so that the objectives of maximum income and profit are balanced.

15

FIG. 24 is a flow chart illustrating the continued determination of price so that the objectives of maximum income and profit are balanced.

FIG. 25 is a flow chart illustrating the assignment of variables if the objective is to maximize income.

20

FIG. 26 is a flow chart illustrating the assignment of variables if the objective is to maximize profit and store the optimal price in the Expected Results Array.

FIG. 27 is a flow chart illustrating the determination of the Error Lookup Array contents.

25

FIG. 28 is a flow chart illustrating the continued determination of the Error Lookup Array contents.

ABE1P002

FIG. 29 is a flow chart illustrating the continued determination of the Error Lookup Array contents, completion of the optimization loop, and test to determine if price optimization should be updated.

5 **FIG. 30** is a flow chart illustrating the calculation of Actual Wins for a given period.

FIG. 31 is a flow chart illustrating the determination of whether Actual Wins is within a tolerable limit.

10

FIG. 32 is a flow chart illustrating the selection of a New Mean Price.

FIG. 33 is a flow chart illustrating subroutines that extract Competition Counts and Actual Wins from legacy systems.

15

ABE1P002

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The following description is present to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to
5 make and use the present embodiment and is provided in the context of a patent
application and its requirements. Various modifications to the illustrated embodiment
will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art and the generic principles herein may
be applied to other embodiments. Thus, the present embodiment is not intended to be
limited to the embodiment shown but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with
10 the principles and features described herein.

As shown in **FIG 1**, a system includes one input/display device **100** or multiple
input/display devices **102** such as a computer workstation that a user enters commands,
inputs data, and views computed results; one or more legacy software applications **126**
15 that are used to issue prices, record quotes, and sales orders; a connection to the
Internet/WAN/LAN **104** that uses TCIP protocol; a firewall **106**; a server or other such
computing device **108** consisting of an application server **110**, a processor **112**, random
access memory **114**, and disk storage **116**.

20 The memory **114** and disk **116** store a Frequency Distribution Engine **118** that
calculates the number of offers for the subject goods and services that the user believes
competitors are offering in a particular market. In addition the memory **114** and disk
116 store the Probability of Win Engine **120**, which calculates the probability that the
user will receive a sale when the subject goods and services are priced at a specific
25 value, and a Expected Results Engine **122** that calculates the anticipate revenue and
gross profit for each price. The Legacy System Interface translates sales order and Offer
Opportunity data from the Legacy System(s) **126** to a useable format for the subject
application.

It will be understood that the described embodiments are embodied as computer instructions stored in memory 114 and executed by processor 112. These instructions can also be stored on a computer readable media such as a floppy disk, CD ROM, etc.

5 and can also be transmitted via a network such as the internet, an intranet, etc., via a carrier wave embodying the instructions.

FIG 1B shows the Menu 130, major processing engines, Frequency Distribution Engine 132, Probability of Win Engine 134, Expected Results Engine 136, Optimization 10 Update Engine 138, and the Legacy System Interface 140. The Frequency Distribution Engine 132, computes and stores a frequency distribution of prices in a table based received by Menu 130. The Probability of Win Engine 134, computes and stores the probability of a customer purchasing the subject good or service in a table based on the frequency distribution of prices. The Probability of Win Engine 134, adjusts and then 15 stores the probability of a customer purchase based on the number of competitors received by the Menu 130. Using the adjusted probability of a customer purchase and values received by Menu 130, the Expected Results Engine 136 calculates the units sold, income, cost of goods, gross profit, sales general & administrative expense, and earnings before income tax for each price and mean price and stores the result in a table. 20 The table created by the Expected Results Engine 136 is searched for the optimum price that optimizes the business objective designated by Menu 130. The Legacy System Interface 140 provides an interface to the user's enterprise resource planning system as well as other legacy systems. Through the Legacy System Interface, values for the Offer Opportunities and Sales Orders are transferred to the Optimization System 108. The 25 Optimization Update Engine 138, based on the number of Offer Opportunities, percentage difference between the expected and actual wins, determines if a new optimization is required. If an optimization is required, then the actual win rate and the current value for optimized price is used to search an Error Table contained in the

Optimization Update Engine 140 for a new Mean Price value that is used to update the Frequency Distribution Engine 132.

As shown in **FIG 2**, a user who wishes to meet a certain business objective, such as maximizing revenue or maximizing gross profit for a specific good or services is shown a menu 200 on an input/display device. The user enters in parameters that describe the frequency distribution of the number of offers verses price in a designated market as well as the number of competitors. The user enters in an estimated mean price into the Mean Price Field 202, the standard deviation low into the Standard Deviation Low Field 204, the standard deviation high into the Standard Deviation High Field 206, the number of competitors in the Number of Competitors Field 208, the beginning of the frequency distribution on curve in the Low End Field 210, and the end of the frequency distribution curve in the High End Field 212.

15 The use of a frequency distribution of pricing to estimate the market has distinct advantages over prior art. These advantages are summarized as follows:

- Enables a broad and complete estimate of the market that that ensures the optimal price can be selected based on business objectives. While prior art can potentially optimize price for a given set of market data, typically that market data is extremely limited in scope.
- Eliminates the lack of statistical significance problem of prior art.
- Eliminates the potential of lack of market relevance associated with prior art.
- Allows the accounting of micro-economic conditions such as oversupply verse demand, undersupply vs. demand, and supply equal to demand that may not be depicted in prior art.

20

25

The user continues the configuration of the system by entering the number of offer opportunities that is anticipated to occur in a given time period in the Offer

Opportunities Field **214**, the business objective in the Business Objective Field **216**, the cost-per-unit in the Cost-of-Goods Field **218**, and the SG&A cost in the Sales & General Administration Field **220**.

5 On completing the entry on data into the menu **200**, the user switches to the display of the Optimization Update Characteristics by activating the Set Update Characteristics Button **222**.

10 **FIG 3** shows a menu **300** that solicits user input to configure the optimization update characteristics. The menu has fields for the Maximum Error In Estimating Mean Price **302**; the frequency that the optimization should be repeated, Optimization Update **304**; and the Tolerable Error Window For Win Rate **306**. On completion of this menu, the user initiates the optimization by activating the Initiate Optimization Button **304**.

15 **FIG 4** shows the flow chart describing the input of data into the routine that is initiated by activating the Initiate Optimization Button **304**. Input and assignment of standard deviation high to variable Standard_Deviation_High **400** is accomplished in **400**. Input and assignment of standard deviation low to variable Standard_Deviation_Low **402** is accomplished in **402**. Input and assignment of mean price to variable Mean_Price_Panel_Estimate **404** is accomplished in **404**. Input and assignment of Supplier_Number **406** is accomplished in **406**. Input and assignment of low end to variable Low_End **408** is accomplished in **408**. Input and assignment of high end to variable High_End **410** is accomplished in **410**. Input and assignment of the number of competitors to variable Supplier_Number **412** is accomplished in **412**.

20

25 **FIG 5** shows the continuation of the flow diagram describing the input of data into the routine. Input and assignment of offer opportunities to variable Offer_Opportunities **500** is accomplished in **500**. Input and assignment of the business

objective to variable Sel_Bus_Obj **502** is accomplished in **502**. Input and assignment of the cost-of-goods to variable Cost_Per_Unit **504** is accomplished in **504**. Input and assignment of sales, general, and administration expenses to variable SG&A **506** is accomplished in **506**. Input and assignment of the maximum error to the mean price
5 estimate variable Price_Max_Error **508** is accomplished in **508**. Input and assignment of the frequency of optimization update value to variable Optimization_Update **510** is accomplished in **510**. Input and assignment of the Tolerable Error Window For Win Rate to variable Error_Window **512** is accomplished in **512**.

10 **FIG 6** illustrates the calculation of the standard deviation ratios, beginning of the optimization loop, and the assignment of the mean price estimates. The standard deviation low ratio, the ratio of the lower standard deviation to the mean price, is calculated in **600** and assigned to variable Standard_Deviation_Low_Ratio **600**. The standard deviation high ratio, the ratio of the upper standard deviation to the mean price,
15 is calculated in **602** and assigned to variable Standard_Deviation_High_Ratio **602**. The flag, Flag_Optimization **604**, that determines whether an optimization is conducted is set to 1 in **604**. The flag, Use_New_Mean_Price **606**, is set to zero in **606**, which indicates the user's initial estimate of the mean price estimate should be used rather than the estimate derived by the application. The optimization loop, defined by steps **608**
20 through **3302**, begins with a program branch **608**. The program branches in **608** based on the value of Use_New_Mean_Price **608**. If Use_New_Mean_Price **608** has a value of one, then the program uses a New_Mean_Price_Est **610** derived in subsequent steps. If Use_New_Mean_Price **608** does not have a value of one, then the value the user entered in **FIG 2**, menu **200**, field **202** is used.

25

FIG 7 illustrates the determination of whether the optimization will be conducted or delayed. If Flag_Optimization **700** is not equal to one, then the optimization is delayed and the next step is **2910**. If Flag_Optimization **700** is one, then

ABE1P002

the two dimensional array of size 5 by 20,000 called `Expected_Results_Array 706` is initialized to zero in a For – Next loop established by **702, 704, 706, 708, and 710**. `Expected_Results_Array 706` will store the expected win rate, revenue, and gross profit, for a given mean price estimate and price.

5

FIG 8 is illustrates the assignment of optimization variables. The size of the price increments between the lower and upper bounds of the range of `Mean_Price_Est 800`, as defined by the user's entry in **FIG 2**, field **202**, is calculated in **800**. The lower standard deviation is calculated and assigned to `Standard_Deviation_Low 802`. The 10 upper standard deviation is calculated in and assigned to `Standard_Deviation_High 804`. The first `Mean_Price` is calculated in **806**. The value for `Price_Low_Est 808` is assigned to `Price_Low 808`. The value for `Price_High_Est 810` is assigned to `Price_High 810`.

FIG 9 begins the flow diagram of the Frequency Distribution Engine **118** 15 referenced in **FIG 1**. The Frequency Distribution Engine **118** calculates an estimate of the distribution of market prices the menu **200** inputs describing the market. In the preferred embodiment of the Frequency Distribution Engine **118**, the distribution is represented by a modified normal distribution such that the distribution to the left of the mean price is characterized by a normal distribution potentially having a standard 20 deviation different than the distribution to the right of the mean. In this embodiment, the use of a modified normal distribution curve is computationally expedient. However alternative embodiments may employ other mathematical functions such as a Lagrange Polynomial. Yet another alternative embodiment may simply be a manual determination of a distribution of points.

25

FIG 9 illustrates the assignment of the optimization variables and the initiation of the Mean Price Error Loop defined by steps **902** through **1610**. The value of `Expected_Results_Start_Index 900` is set to one. The Mean Price Error Loop defined by

ABE1P002

steps 902 through 1610 is initiated by the For statement in 902. The value of mean price is calculated and assigned to Mean_Price 904, which is recalculated for every repetition of the Mean Price Error Loop defined by steps 902 through 1610.

5 **FIG 10** illustrates the assignment of optimization variables and a continuation of the Mean Price Error Loop. **FIG 10** begins by determining the number of price increments represented by Price_Increment 1000 contained in the range of the frequency distribution, as well as the number of increments from the low end to the mean price represented by Increments_To_Mean_Price 1002. The values for variables Const1 1004
10 and Const2 1006 are calculated. The value of Price 1008 is initialized. A programming loop 1010 to 1102 is established that increments Frequency_Index 1010 in single steps to Increments_To_Mean_Price 1010. The value of variable Price 1008 is stored in Supplier_Price_Index 1012. The Frequency Distribution for the given variable Price 1008 is calculated and stored in an array called Freq_Dist 1014.

15 **FIG 11** illustrates the continued creation of the upper portion of the Frequency Distribution Array. The next value for the variable Price 1008 is calculated in 1100. The Frequency_Index 1102 is increment and the instruction in the loop 1010 repeated until the value of Frequency_Index 1010 is equal to Increments_To_Mean_Price 1010 plus one. Programming loop defined by steps 1104 through 1112 is established that increments Frequency_Index 1104 from the value of Increments_To_Mean_Price 1104 plus one in steps of one to 1000 inclusive. The Supplier_Price_Index 1106 array is set to the value contained in the variable Price 1106. The value for Freq_Dist 1108 array is calculated. The value of Price 1110 is incremented by the value of Price_Increment
20 1110. The Frequency_Index 1112 is incremented and the instructions in programming loop defined by steps 1102 through 1112 is repeated until the value of Frequency_Index 1104 is equal to Increments_To_Mean_Price 420 plus one.
25

FIG 12 illustrates the smoothing of the Frequency Distribution Array data. The flow diagram that is the continuation of the Frequency Distribution Engine 118 referenced in **FIG 1** and relates to the normalization of the two halves of the distribution curve. The normalization begins with a determination 1200 of whether Const1 1200 is

5 larger than Const2 1200. If it the determination 1200 is true, then a programming loop defined by steps 1202 through 1206 is initiated where i 1202 is initialized to zero and incremented by one to a value of Increments_To_Mean_Price 1202 plus one. The value stored in the array Freq_Dist(i) 1204 is multiplied by the ratio of Const2 1204 divided by Const1 1204 and restored in Freq_Dist(i) 1204. Then the value of i 1206 is

10 incremented and the loop defined by 1202 through 1206 repeated. If Const1 1200 is not larger than Const2 1200, then the determination results in the End If 1208 statement.

FIG 13 illustrates the continued smoothing of the Frequency Distribution Array and the determination of the Expected Results Array. If the determination 1200 is false, then a second determination 1300 of whether Const1 1300 is less than Const2 1300. If the determination 1300 is true, then a programming loop 1302 through 1306 is established where i 1302 is initialized to a value of Increments_To_Mean_Price 1302 plus one and stepped by increments of one. The value stored in the array Freq_Dist(i) 1304 is multiplied by the ratio of Const2 1304 divided by Const1 1304 and restored in Freq_Dist(i) 1304. The value of i 1306 is incremented and the programming loop defined by 1302 through 1306 repeated. Once the programming loop defined by 1302 through 1306 is complete, a variable which represents the integrated value of the Frequency Distribution Array, Freq_Dist_Total 1310 is set to zero. If the determination of 1300 is false, then the routine proceeds to step 1310 where Freq_Dist_Total is set to

15 zero.

20

25

The Probability of Win Engine 120 referenced in **FIG. 1** calculates the probability of a customer purchasing a subject good or service based on a factor called

Offer Opportunities. Specifically, Offer Opportunities define the number of customers that are exposed to a specific offer to sell said good or service at a designated price. The Probability of Win Engine **120** overcomes disadvantage of the prior art by eliminating the assumption that a good or service priced at a specific value will yield a predictable 5 amount of sales regardless of the number of customers that were exposed to the specific offer to sell said good or service at a designated price. For programming expediency, the Probability of Win Engine **120** is embedded in the Expected Results Engine **122**.

FIG 14 shows the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine **122** 10 referenced in **FIG 1**. The programming loop defined by steps **1400** through **1408** is used to integrate, or sum, the values defined by the first and last array element of the Frequency Distribution Array. The programming loop defined by steps **1400** through **1408** is initiated by setting Frequency_Index **1400** to one and then incrementing in steps of one to **1000** for each loop. Frequency_Index_Less_One **1402** is calculated. 15 The variable Height **1404** is calculated by taking the average of two adjacent values of array Freq_Dist **1404** for a given value of Frequency_Index **1404**. Freq_Dist_Total **1406** is calculated by multiplying the Price_Increment **1406** by the Height **1406** and summing to the previous value of Freq_Dist_Total **1406**. The next Frequency_Index **1408** is calculated by incrementing Frequency_Index **610** by one. The programming 20 loop defined by **1400** through **1408** is repeated until Frequency_Index **1400** equals **1001**.

On completion of the programming loop defined by steps **1400** through **1408**, 25 the value of Expected_Results_Index **1410** is set to zero. The value of Expected_Results_End_Index **1412** is calculated. The value of Frequency_Index **1414** is set to one. The programming loop defined by **1416** through **1608** is established where the value of Expected_Results_Index **1416** is set to the value of

Expected_Results_Start_Index **1416** and is incremented by one until
Expected_Results_End_Index **1416** is exceeded by a value of one.

FIG 15 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected

5 Results Engine **122** referenced in **FIG 1**. The Price **1500** is stored in the
Expected_Results_Array **1500** column zero. The Mean_Price **1502** is stored in the
Expected_Results_Array **1502** column one. The value for Frequency_Index_less_One
1504 is calculated. The value for Cum_At_Price_Rounded **1508** which represents the
integral from the value Low_End **306** to the current value of Price **1500** is calculated.

10 The value for Expected_Results_Array **1510** column two is calculated and depicts the
probability of win with one competitor. The value for Expected_Results_Array **1512**
column three is calculated and depicts the probability of win with for more than one
supplier. The value for Expected_Results_Array **1514** column four is calculated and
depicts the anticipated revenue for a specific price based on the number of offer
15 opportunities.

The incorporation of the number of suppliers in the market represents a
significant advantage over prior art because there is not the assumption that the number
of competitors at the time the yield curve was constructed remained the same.

20 Particularly in global markets, the number of competitors can change in a relatively
short time frame which can potentially invalidate the yield curve. By quantifying and
integrating the number of suppliers in the subject market, a more accurate and reliable
determination of the optimum price can be made.

25 **FIG 16** shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected
Results Engine **122** referenced in **FIG 1**. The value for Expected_Results_Array **1600**
column five is calculated and depicts the anticipated gross profit for a specific price
based the anticipated revenue and cost-of-goods. The value for

Expected_Results_Array **1602** column six is calculated and depicts the anticipated earnings before income tax. The value of Price **1604** is incremented. The value of Frequency_Index **1606** is incremented. The value of Next_Expected_Results_Index **1608** is incremented and the programming loop defined by steps **1416** through **1610** 5 repeated until Expected_Results_End_Index plus one is reached.

FIG 17 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine **122** referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 17** initiates the steps associated with identifying the first and last indexes of values contained in Expected Results Array 10 corresponding to the current value Mean_Price_Est. As a result of potential rounding error, an uncertainty for Mean_Price_Est may be incorporated into the search. Flag_Skip_1 **1700** is set to zero indicating that the first value of interest in a subsequent search has not been found. Flag_Skip2 **1702** is set to zero indicating that the second value of interest in a subsequent search has not been found. A programming loop 15 defined by steps **1704** through **2000**, is established to search the for the first index in the Expected Results Array where the value of Mean Price plus or minus a tolerance equals Mean_Price_Est. The For statement **1704** initiates the programming loop defined by **1704** through **2000**. A determination of whether Flag_Skip1 **1706** is equal to zero is made. If Flag_Skip1 **1706** is not equal to zero, then step **1806** is executed. If 20 Flag_Skip1 **1706** is equal to zero, then the value of Mean Price contained in the Expected_Results_Array **1708** is checked starting with the index value corresponding to Frequency_Index **1708**. If the Mean Price value is equal to Mean_Price_Est **1708**, the program proceeds to the steps shown in **FIG 18**.

25 **FIG 18** shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine **122** referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 18** illustrates the continued search in the Expected Results Array corresponding to the first index value of the array element Mean Price corresponding to the value stored in the variable Mean_Price_Est. If the value

corresponding to Mean Price contained in the Expected_Results_Array is equal to Mean_Price_Est, then the value of Start_Index **1800** is set to Frequency_Index **1800** plus one. Flag_Skip1 **1802** is set to one indicating that the index of the first Mean Price in Expected_Results_Array has been identified. A determination is made as to 5 whether Flag_Skip2 **1808** is equal to zero. If Flag_Skip2 **1808** is not equal to zero, the last instance of the Mean Price in Expected_Results_Array has not been identified, and the program proceeds to the steps listed in **FIG 19**. If Flag_Skip2 **1808** is equal to zero, then a determination is made as to whether Frequency_Index **1810** is equal to 20,000, and then the steps shown in **FIG 19** executed.

10

FIG 19 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine **122** referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 19** illustrates the continued search in the Expected Results Array corresponding to the first and last index value of the array element Mean Price corresponding to the value stored in the variable Mean_Price_Est. 15 If the determination of Flag_Skip2 **1808** equal to zero is not true, then the If **1808** statement is terminated in step **1906**. If the determination of Flag_Skip **1808** equal to zero is true, then the determination of Frequency_Index **1810** equal to 20,000 is made. If Frequency_Index **1810** is equal to 20,000, then true, then the value of Frequency_Index is assigned to the variable End_Index **1900**. Flag_Skip2 **1900** is set to 20 one and the If **1810** statement is terminated in **1904**. If the determination that Frequency_Index is equal to 20,000 is true, then and value of Mean Price contained in the Expected_Results_Array **1908** is checked starting with the index value corresponding to Frequency_Index **1908**. If the Mean Price value is equal to Mean_Price_Est **1908**, the program proceeds to store the value of Frequency_Index less 25 one in the variable End_Index **1810**. The variable Flag_Skip2 **1812** is set to one indicating that no further checking is necessary. If the Mean Price value is not equal to Mean_Price_Est **1908**, the program proceeds to the End If **1814** statement.

ABE1P002

FIG 20 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 20** illustrates the search in the Expected Results Array for the price and corresponding index that yields the maximum income. The Next 2000 statement completes the programming loop defined by steps 1704 to 5 2000 associated with the search for the first and last index values of the array elements Mean Price corresponding to the value stored in the variable Mean_Price_Est. Temp1 2002 is assigned the first value of income in the Expected_Results_Array 2002 corresponding to the element pointed to by Start_Index 2002. The corresponding price to the first value of income in the Expected_Results_Array 2004 is assigned to variable 10 Max_Income_Price 2004. A programming loop defined by steps 2006 through 2106 is established. The For 2006 statement will increment Frequency_Index 2006 from Start_Index+1 2006 to End_Index 2006. Temp2 2008 stores the next array element in Expected_Results_Array depicting the projected income. A determination is made as to whether Temp2 2010 is larger than Temp1 2010, and if true, then Temp2 2012 is 15 assigned to Temp1 2012 and the program proceeds to the steps shown in **FIG 21**. If the determination of whether Temp2 2010 is larger than Temp1 2010 is false, then the program proceeds to the steps shown in **FIG 21**.

FIG 21 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected 20 Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 21** illustrates the continued search in the Expected Results Array for the price and corresponding index that yields the maximum income. Max_Income_Price 2100 is set based on the first price entry in the Expected_Results_Array 2100. The Max_Income_Price_Index 2102 is set to the current Frequency_Index 2102. The End If 2104 statement terminates the If 2010 25 statement. The Frequency_Index 2106 is incremented and the programming loop defined by steps 2006 through 2106 repeated until Frequency_Index 2006 exceeds End_Index 2006 by one.

After the programming loop defined by steps 2006 through 2106 is completed, the program begins the process of identifying the price representing the highest gross profit. Temp1 2108 is assigned the gross profit value in the Expected_Results_Array 2108 based on Start_Index 2108. The price stored in the Expected_Results_Array 5 corresponding to Temp1 2108 is stored in Max_GM_Profit 2110.

FIG 22 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 22** illustrates the continued search in the Expected Results Array for the price and index that yields the maximum profit. A programming loop defined by steps 2200 through 2300 is initiated by the For 2200 10 statement. Frequency_Index 2200 is stepped in increments of one starting with the value (Start_Index+1) 2200 to End_Index 2200. Temp2 2202 is assigned the value in Expected_Results_Array 2202 corresponding to profit pointed to by Frequency_Index 2202. A determination is made in as to whether Temp2 2204 is larger than Temp1 2204. If the determination is not true, then the If statement is terminated in the End If 15 2212 statement. If the determination is true, then Temp2 2206 is assigned to Temp1 2206. Max_GM_Price 2208 is assigned the value corresponding to price stored in the Expected_Results_Array 2208 pointed to by Frequency_Index 2208. Max_GM_Price_Index 2210 is assigned the current value of Frequency_Index 2210. The Frequency_Index 2300 is incremented by one and the programming loop defined by 20 steps 2200 through 2300 repeated until the value of End_Index 2200 is exceeded by one.

FIG 23 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 23** illustrates the determination of price so 25 that the objectives of maximum income and profit are balanced. A determination of whether the variable Balance_Choice 2302 equal to 0.5 is made. If the determination is not true, the program proceeds to the End If 2408 statement. If the determination is

true, then the user has specified the program optimize the selection of price by balancing the objectives of profit and income, and the program proceeds to step 2304.

A determination is made as to whether Max_Income_Price_Index 2304 is

5 greater than Max_GM_Price_Index 2304. If the determination is not true, then the program proceeds to the End If 2308 statement. If the determination is true, then the program assigns Optimal_Price_Pointer 2306 with the value calculated by averaging the difference of index pointers Max_GM_Price_Index 2306 and Max_Income_Price_Index 2306 and summing Max_GM_Price_Index 2306.

10

FIG 24 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 24** illustrates the continued determination of price so that the objectives of maximum income and profit are balanced. A determination of whether Max_Income_Price_Index 2400 is greater than

15 Max_GM_Price_Index 2400 is made. If the determination is not true, then the program proceeds to the End If 2406 statement. If the determination is true, then the program assigns Optimal_Price_Pointer 2402 with the value calculated by averaging the difference of index pointers Max_Income_Price_Index 2402 and Max_GM_Price_Index 2402 and summing Max_GM_Price_Index 2402. Optimal_Price 2404 is assigned the 20 value of price stored in Expected_Results_Array 2404 pointed to by the value stored in Optimal_Price_Pointer.

FIG 25 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 25** illustrates the assignment of variables 25 if the objective is to maximize income. A determination is made as to whether Balance_Choice 2500 is equal to one. If the determination is not true, then the If 2500 statement terminates in the End If 2506 statement. If the determination is true, then the

variable Optimal_Price 2502 is assigned Max_Income_Price 2502.

Optimal_Price_Pointer 2504 is assigned the value of Max_Income_Price_Index 2504.

A determination is made as to whether Balance_Choice 2508 equals zero. If the
5 determination is not true, then the If 2508 statement terminates in the End If 2602
statement. If the determination is true, then the variable Optimal_Price 2510 is assigned
the value stored in Max_GM_Price 2510. Optimal_Price_Pointer 2600 is assigned the
value of Max_GM_Price_Index 2600.

10 **FIG 26** shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected
Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. If the percentage difference in expected and
actual win rates are outside a predefined window, a table that defines a relationship
between the actual win rate, the current optimized price, and new mean price is used to
update the optimization. **FIG 26** illustrates the steps used to determine the contents of
15 the Error Lookup Array. A programming loop defined by steps 2604 through 2607 is
initiated with the For 2604 statement, with i 2604 set to zero and stepped in increments
of one to 19. The elements of Error_Lookup_Array(0,i) 2606 are populated with the
value of Optimal_Price 2606 based on index i. i 2607 is incremented and the
programming loop defined by steps 2604 through 2607 repeated.

20 Error_Lookup_Array(1,0) 2608 is assigned the lowest Mean_Price_Est 2608 given the
largest error as defined by Price_Max_Error 2608. The value of Optimal_Price_pointer
is assigned to Lowest_Pointer 2610 and to Temp 2612. A programming loop defined by
steps 2614 through 2708 is established with the For 2614 statement, where i is set to
zero and incremented by one to a value of 19.

25

FIG 27 shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected
Results Engine 122 referenced in **FIG 1**. Steps 2700 through 2710 find the lowest
index to the optimal price for a given Mean Price. Temp 2700 is assigned a new value

ABE1P002

determined by subtracting 1000 from the original value of Temp **2700**. A determination of whether Temp **2702** is equal to, or greater than zero is made. If the determination is not true, then the If **2702** statement is terminated in the End If **2706** statement. If the determination **2702** is true, then Lowest_Pointer **2704** is set equal to Temp **2704**. i **2708**

5 is incremented and the programming loop defined by steps **2614** to **2708** repeated.

Error_Lookup_Array(2,0) **2710** is set equal to the value stored in Lowest_Pointer **2710**.

The first index of the first Mean_Price set is stored in Error_Lookup_Array(3,0) **2712** by setting it equal to one.

10 **FIG 28** shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine **1220** referenced in **FIG 1**. The last index of the first Mean_Price set is stored by setting the Expected_Results_Array(4,0) **2800** equal to 1000. A programming loop defined by steps **2802** to **2814** is initiated by the For **2802** statement, and populates the Error_Lookup_Array. The programming loop increments i **2802** from 1 to 19 in steps of one. The variable ii **2804** is calculated by subtracting one from i **2804**. The value of Error_Lookup_Array(1,i) **2806** is calculated by adding the Price_Max_Error_Increment **2806** to Error_Lookup_Array(1,ii) **2806**. The value of Error_Lookup_Array(2,i) **2808** is calculated by adding 1000 to Error_Lookup_Array(2,ii). The value of Error_Lookup_Array(3,i) **2810** is calculated by adding 1000 to Error_Lookup_Array(3,ii) **2810**. Error_Lookup_Array(4,i) **2812** is calculated by adding 1000 to Error_Lookup_Array(4,ii) **2812**. i is incremented by one and the programming loop defined by steps **2802** through **2814** repeated.

15

20 **FIG 29** steps **2900** through **2910** shows the continuation of the flow diagram that is part of the Expected Results Engine **122** referenced in **FIG 1**. A programming loop defined by **2900** through **2906** is established by the For **2900** statement. i **2900** is set to zero and incremented in steps of one to 19. k **2902** is set equal to the value stored in Error_Lookup_Array(2,i). Error_Lookup_Array(5,i) **2904** is set equal to the value in

25

Expected_Results_Array(2,k) **2904**. i is incremented by one and the programming loop defined by steps **2900** through **2906** repeated. A programming loop is established with steps **2907A** through **2907C** and is initiated by the For statement **2907A**. i **2907A** is set to zero and stepped in increments of one to 11000 plus one. The indexed array of

5 Price_Dist **2907B** is set equal to Optimal_Price **2907B**. The programming loop **4607A** through **4607C** is repeated until 11000 plus one is reached. The value of Flag_Optimization **2908** is set to zero indicating that the optimization is complete.

Step **2912** begins the flow diagram of the Optimization Update Engine **128** shown in **FIG 1**. The decision whether to re-optimize pricing is based on a pre-determined number of offer opportunities and an evaluation of whether the percentage difference between the actual and expected win rates fall outside a predefined window. A determination of whether the arithmetic/logic expression (Competition MOD Optimization_Update AND Competition) **2912** is greater than zero. If the determination **2912** is not true, then the If **2912** statement is terminated in the End If **3216** statement. If the determination **2912** is true, then the program proceeds to step **3000** indicating the actual results of the optimization should be checked.

FIG 30 shows the continued flow diagram of the Optimization Update Engine referenced in **FIG 1**. Start_Wins **3000** is set equal to Competition **3000** less Optimization_Update **3000** plus one. End_Wins **3002** is set equal to Competition **3002**. Period_Wins **3004** is set to zero. A programming loop defined by the steps **3006** through **3008** is initiated by the For **3006** statement. k **3006** is set equal to Start_Wins **3006** and incremented in steps of one to a value equal to End_Wins **3006**. Period_Wins **3007** is calculated by adding Acutal_Results_Array(1,k) **3007** + Period_Wins **3007**. k is incremented by one and the programming loop defined by steps **3006** through **3008** repeated. Actual_Wins_Decimal **3010** is calculated by dividing the Period_Wins **3010** by Optimization_Update **3010**. Expected_Wins_Decimal **3012** is set equal to Expected_Results_Array(2, Optimal_Price_Pointer) **3012**. Temp **3014** is calculated by

taking the absolute value of the difference between Actual_Wins_Decimal 3014 and Expected_Wins_Decimal 3014, and then dividing the difference by Expected_Wins_Decimal 3014.

5 **FIG 31** shows the continued flow diagram of the Optimization Update Engine referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 31** illustrates the determination of whether the actual results are within a tolerable limit. A determination of whether Temp 3100 is greater than Error_Window 3100 is made. If the determination 3100 is not true, then the If 3100 statement is terminated in an End If 3210 statement. If the determination 3100 is true, 10 then Win_Difference_Current 3102 is calculated by taking the absolute value of the difference between the Actual_Wins_Decimal 3102 and the Error_Lookup_Array(5,0) 3102. A programming loop defined by steps 3104 and 3204 is initiated with the For 3104 statement. i is incremented from one to 19 in steps of one. Win_Difference_Current 3106 is calculated by taking the absolute value of the 15 difference between the Actual_Wins_Decimal and Error_Lookup_Array(5,i) 3106. A determination of whether Win_Difference_Lowest 3108 is greater than Win_Difference_Current 3108 is made. If the determination 3108 is not true, then the If 3108 statement is terminated in and End If 3202 statement. If the determination 3110 is true, then Win_Difference_Lowest is set equal to Win_Difference_Current 3110.

20

FIG 32 shows the continued flow diagram of the Optimization Update Engine referenced in **FIG 1**. **FIG 32** illustrates the selection of a new mean price. Win_Difference_Lowest_Index 3200 is set equal to i. i is incremented by one and the programming loop defined by steps 3104 through 3204 is repeated.

25 New_Mean_Price_Est 3206 is set equal to Eror_Lookup_Array(1, Win_Difference_Lowest_Index) 3206. The variable Use_New_Mean_Price_Est is set equal to one. Mean_Price 3212 is set equal to Expected_Results_Array(1,

Win_Difference_Lowest_Index) 3212. The variable Flag_Optimize 3214 is set equal to one.

The incorporation of a method to rapidly detect when to update the price
5 optimization is a significant advancement over prior art. Since prior art does not include metrics related to Offer Opportunities and Probability of Win, knowing when to conduct an update of price optimization was a matter of qualitative professional judgment as opposed to a quantitative determination based on statistical principles of sampling theory.

10

In addition to detecting when an update of price optimization is needed, using the actual win rate as a way of re-optimizing the price is a significant advancement over prior art. Unlike prior art that requires reformation of the demand curve by selling the subject good or service at various prices, this embodiment uses the actual win rate and
15 current price to eliminate the need for test selling. Rather, the actual win rate is used to reformulate the Frequency Distribution, recalculate the Probability of Win, determine the new Expected Results, and select the optimal price.

20 The combination of detecting when to update the optimization and the method of re-optimizing allows this embodiment to produce more accurate price optimizations one to two orders of magnitude faster than prior art.

FIG 33 illustrates the flow diagram that represents the Interface to Legacy Systems 124 shown in **FIG 1**. The subroutine Get_New_Competition_Count 3300
25 extracts the number of competition for a given time period from the Legacy System(s) 126 and assigns the value to the variable Competition 3300. The subroutine Get_Actual_Wins 3302 extracts the number of sales for a given time period from the

Legacy Systems(s) 126 and assigns it to the variable Actual_Wins 3302. The program then loops back to step 608.

The present embodiment provides a superior computer implemented method for 5 continuously pricing goods and services so that certain business objectives are met. The technique overcomes the three principal challenges of the prior art. In addition, the present embodiment adds a significant enhancement that mitigates the uncertainty in implicit assumptions associated with the prior art.

10 The method begins by a user creating an estimated Frequency vs. Price distribution curve for the subject market. This curve represents the user's estimate of the frequency of competitor's offers at each price for similar goods and services.

The Frequency vs. Price distribution curve is converted to a Probability of Win 15 vs. Price curve by integrating the area under the Frequency vs. Price distribution curve. The Probability of Win vs. Price curve is adjusted based on the number of competitors. Using the Probability of Win vs. Price curve, the number of units sold can be predicted based on a number of offer opportunities. Offer opportunities are the instances in a given time period that a supplier has to sell their goods or services. How offer 20 opportunities are quantified may differ from industry-to-industry. For example, an industrial distributor [supplier] may sell their goods through a request-for-quote/bid model. Offer opportunities in this instance consist of the number of bids the distributor submitted to potential customers in a given time period. Another example is a grocer. If the grocer wanted to understand the market's responsiveness to a particular type of 25 cereal, the offer opportunities could be defined as the number of overall sales for all cereals.

As with the prior art, using the understanding of the relationship between quantity and price, an income statement, as well as additional metrics, can be constructed for each price through the following steps; a) Calculation of revenue by multiplying the price and quantity, b) Determination of the cost-of-goods by multiply 5 the quantity and unit cost at that quantity, c) Calculation of gross profit by subtracting the cost-of-goods from the revenue, d) Determining the sales and general administration costs, e) Calculating the earnings before income tax by subtracting the sales and general administration costs from the gross profit, f) Calculation of market share by dividing the quantity by the total quantity sold by all suppliers, and e) Calculating factor utilization 10 by dividing the units sold by the capacity of the factory for that product. Once the income statement and additional metrics are calculated for each price, the optimum price can be selected to satisfy one or more business objects.

Market shifts due to changes in demand or shifts in one or more competitor's 15 pricing are detected by comparing the expected probability of win verse the actual win rate at a given price. If the actual and expected win rates differ outside of a predefined window of acceptability, then an optimization update is initiated.

If an optimization is merited, the method uses the difference between the 20 expected and actual win rate to determine how the frequency distribution curve should be altered. The probability of win curve is then recalculated, the process of computing an income statement for each price repeated, and the appropriate price selected based on the optimization.

25 The creation of the Frequency Distribution and Probability of Win curves solves the four challenges of the prior art and substantially mitigates the uncertainty of implicit assumptions in the following ways:

- a) Provides a complete representation of a market's responsiveness to pricing rather than the limited view provided by the supplier's historical sales orders.
- 5 b) Overcomes the absence of statistically relevant data that hinders the rendition of a demand curve.
- c) Ensures market relevancy by using an expert's view of the market rather than relying on historical sales order data that may not be relevant in predicting the market's responsiveness.
- 10 d) Mitigates the weight on the assumption that the market remains the same by creating a metric called offer opportunities through which sales opportunities may be gauged.

The fifth and sixth challenges of prior art, rapidly determining when an optimization is needed and updating the optimization are solved in the following ways:

- 15 a) Provides a method for rapid detection of when optimization is no longer relevant based on the difference between actual and expected win rate.
- b) A method for rapidly updating the optimization by using the difference between actual and expected win rate in determining a new more representative Frequency Distribution curve for the subject market.
- 20

While various embodiments have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example only, and not limitation. For example, any of the network elements may employ any of the desired functionality set forth 25 hereinabove. Thus, the breadth and scope of a preferred embodiment should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents.